



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,441	12/28/2005	Siegfried Burggraf	24175-US	3662
22829	7590	05/29/2009	EXAMINER	
Roche Molecular Systems, Inc. Patent Law Department 4300 Hacienda Drive Pleasanton, CA 94588			THOMAS, DAVID C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1637				
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/29/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/562,441	Applicant(s) BURGGRAF, SIEGFRIED
	Examiner DAVID C. THOMAS	Art Unit 1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on **18 March 2009**.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) **27-32,35,36,39 and 45-48** is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) **45-47** is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) **27-32,35,36,39 and 48** is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 18, 2009 has been entered. Claim 48 (currently amended) and claims 27-32, 35, 36 and 39 (previously presented) will be examined on the merits. Claims 45-47 were previously withdrawn. Claims 1-25, 33, 37, 38 and 40-44 were previously canceled and claims 26 and 34 are newly canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 27-32, 35, 36, 39 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wittwer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,174,670) in view of Zimmermann et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0102548).

With regard to claim 48, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample (for overview, see Abstract and column 4, lines 32-50), said method comprising the steps of:

adding a control nucleic acid to said sample (internal control nucleic acids are added to PCR reactions for simultaneous amplification and detection, column 41, lines 21-28),

amplifying a test nucleic acid in a sample in the presence of at least one single-stranded detection probe that reversibly binds to a binding region of said test nucleic acid and enables detection of said test nucleic acid (target DNA is amplified using pair of primers in presence of two probes which hybridize to adjacent regions of target during annealing phase of PCR at each cycle, column 3, 58-61, column 7, lines 56-67 and Figure 18, top example; see Figure 2 for probe annealing during phase of lowered temperature);

co-amplifying said control nucleic acid together with said test nucleic acid (internal control nucleic acids are amplified simultaneously with the sample DNA, column 41, lines 28-30),

wherein said control nucleic acid has a binding region that also binds said detection probe and has a nucleotide sequence having at least one deviation in comparison to said binding region of said test nucleic acid (two nucleic acid targets having difference at selected locus are present in reaction which have binding sites for two probes which hybridize at adjacent sites in target wherein one of the probes spans the locus site and is matched with one of the targets and therefore deviates from the

Art Unit: 1637

sequence of control target, column 7, lines 53-54 and line 64 to column 8, line 9; see Figure 18 for dual probe annealing; genomic DNA that is provided as the control DNA is denatured during the initial amplification step, and therefore is in single-stranded form, while the nucleic acid of some pathogens such as HIV used for the testing of viral load in patients infected with HIV for purposes of prognosis and therapy is inherently single-stranded, column 11, lines 54-60), and

wherein said test nucleic acid and said control nucleic acid form hybrids with said detection probe having melting points sufficiently different to analytically differentiate said hybrids during said qualitative detection (temperature melting profiles for each target sample are generated at the end of amplification that are distinguishable if a true sequence deviation exists between the targets, column 7, line 64 to column 8, line 9 and column 8, lines 25-35; see also Example 23, column 46, lines 20-48, for detection of heterozygous and homozygous forms of methylenetetrahydrafolate reductase (MTHFR) gene after amplification and Figure 48), wherein said control nucleic acid and said probe essentially do not hybridize during said quantitative detection (melting point of homozygous mutant is comparatively low for factor V Leiden mutation and product appears not be present when monitoring sample during real-time analysis at cycle 40 at 63°C where both heterozygous and homozygous wild-type are not fully melted, thus providing clear genotype identification, column 18, lines 50-55, column 45, lines 8-14 and Figure 47), which is carried out at a temperature that is 2°C to 10°C below the melting point of said detection probe and said target nucleic acid (monitoring of fluorescence begins at 50°C during melting profile measurements, more than 2°C but

Art Unit: 1637

less than 10°C below melting temperature of homozygous mutant control for MTHFR gene example, column 45, lines 8-10 and Figure 47).

With regard to claim 27, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein said melting point of said control nucleic acid product is lower than said melting point of said hybrid of said test nucleic acid by at least 5°C (for analysis of point mutation in MTHFR gene, melting point of homozygous mutant is lower than that of wild-type by about 5°C, column 46, lines 20-48 and Figure 48).

With regard to claim 28, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein said control nucleic acid and said test nucleic acid are amplified with identical primers (amplification of both control and target nucleic acids are performed with same primers, column 46, lines 27-34).

With regard to claim 29, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein said test nucleic acid and said control nucleic acid are amplified by polymerase chain reaction (amplification of control and target nucleic acids is achieved by PCR, column 8, lines 10-18 and column 46, lines 34-46).

With regard to claim 30, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein two or more of said test nucleic acids and two or more of said control nucleic acids are present in the same sample (the discriminatory power of hybridization probes can be applied to multiplex PCR using multiple detection probes that sequentially melt off different targets at different

Art Unit: 1637

temperatures, column 46, lines 49-61; multiplex reactions can be performed with internal control nucleic acids, column 41, lines 21-30).

With regard to claim 31, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein said test nucleic acid is derived from a pathogen (nucleic acid from pathogens such as hepatitis B and C, and HIV can be detected using hybridization probes that distinguish wild-type and variants by melting curve profiles, column 35, lines 30-60 and column 41, lines 54-60).

With regard to claim 32, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein said detection is carried out in real-time (monitoring of factor V Leiden mutation can be monitored both in real time during each cycle, as well as by performing melting profile after completion of amplification, column 44, line 1 to column 45, line 14 and Figures 46 and 47).

With regard to claim 35, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein only one of said detection probes is used and said detection is based on a melting curve of said test nucleic acid, wherein the melting curve of said control nucleic acid serves as an internal control of proper amplification (example of melting profile for monitoring MTHFR gene mutation is performed using one labeled probe along with a labeled primer, wherein control nucleic acid is amplified and monitored by performing melting curve, which is indicative of proper amplification, column 46, lines 20-48 and Figure 48).

With regard to claim 36, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein two of said detection probes are used,

said probes forming a FRET pair (two labeled hybridization probes can be used wherein the probes hybridize at closely spaced sites on the target, with one probe being 3'-labeled with fluorescein to allow transfer of energy to nearby Cy5 reporter group on 5' end of second probe, column 31, line 43 to column 32, line 7 and Figure 18).

With regard to claim 39, Wittwer teaches a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample wherein said deviation in nucleotide sequence is an exchange of a A or a T for a G or C (factor V Leiden mutation involves G to A mutation, column 42, lines 62-64, while the MTHFR gene mutation involves C to T mutation, column 46, lines 20-26 and therefore there is an exchange of A for G for factor V Leiden and T for C for the MTHFR gene mutation when using wild type genomic DNA as a control compared to the binding region of the test DNA, column 14, lines 35-43).

Wittwer does not teach a method for qualitative or quantitative detection of a nucleic acid in a sample comprising the step of adding a single-stranded control nucleic acid to the sample, wherein the control nucleic acid contains only sequences necessary for amplification and binding of a detection probe and no more than about 10% of additional sequences.

Zimmermann teaches a method of amplification of nucleic acids using a synthetically-produced single-stranded construct that serves as an internal control for the amplification assay (paragraph 2, lines 1-9). The control nucleic acids are as short as 90 bases and contain the forward and reverse primer binding sites flanking short internal random sequences (paragraph 14, lines 1-7 and paragraph 29, lines 1-11). The

Art Unit: 1637

internal control nucleic acids are added to the sample prior to any pre-purification or extraction steps (paragraph 18, lines 1-10).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the methods of Wittwer for monitoring and detecting nucleic acid hybridization of probes to target and control molecules using melting curve analysis during and after amplification and those of Zimmermann who teaches methods of nucleic acid amplification using short single-stranded nucleic acid controls that are added to target samples prior to sample processing since the single-stranded nucleic acid controls and methods taught by Zimmermann can be easily adapted for the quantitative methods of Wittwer for measurement of relative copy numbers required for quantifying viral loads in patients infected with RNA-based agents such as HIV or hepatitis C that require single-stranded nucleic acid controls (Wittwer, column 41, lines 52-56) but can also be used for detection of DNA targets (Zimmermann, paragraph 21, lines 7-11). Thus, an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to use the melting profile methods of Wittwer to analyze the amplification products of target and control nucleic acids that contain binding regions for detection probes that deviate by one or more nucleotides to allow melting point analysis to differentiate the amplification products both during quantitative PCR and after amplification since Zimmermann shows that internal control nucleic acids can be readily synthesized and successfully amplified by PCR in single-stranded form for detection of a wide variety of both RNA and DNA targets (Zimmermann, paragraph 20, lines 1-10).

These constructs contain random sequences between the flanking primer binding sites (Zimmermann, paragraph 29, lines 1-11) that can easily be modified to bind the real-time detection probes of Wittwer.

Furthermore, single-stranded internal control nucleic acids offer several advantages over controls produced from vectors or obtained from genomic DNA. Amplification assays using single-stranded internal controls can be performed quickly and inexpensively without sacrificing specificity or sensitivity (Zimmermann, paragraph 10, lines 1-4). Moreover, the synthetic nucleic acid controls can be produced automatically in a synthesizer, and eliminates the need for expensive purification in the case of controls made by recombinant DNA techniques (Zimmermann, paragraph 12, lines 1-15), thus making them suited for large-scale industrial use (Zimmermann, paragraph 13, lines 1-7), and problems with nonspecific amplification products have not been observed using the short control constructs (Zimmermann, paragraph 14, lines 1-7). Like Wittwer, Zimmermann realizes that it is highly useful to amplify internal controls with the same primers used for the target nucleic acid, paragraph 16, lines 1-5) and is also aware of the practical need and use for controls that are similar in sequence yet vary from the target nucleic acid in distinguishable ways, such as sequence variations (Zimmermann, paragraph 16, lines 6-21).

Finally, Wittwer is keenly aware of the need and advantages of using internal controls for PCR. With regard to multiplex amplification, Wittwer states "Such control amplifications are best done as internal controls with simultaneous amplification and detection" (column 41, lines 28-30). With regard to viral load measurements, Wittwer

states "Using a control template and monitoring the efficiency of amplification of both control and natural templates during amplification, accurate quantification of initial copy number is achieved", column 41, lines 56-60). Furthermore in regard to mRNA quantitation using melting point analysis, Wittwer states "Relative quantification of two PCR products is important in many quantitative PCR applications. Multiplex amplification of two or more products followed by intergration of the areas under melting peaks will be extremely useful in these areas. mRNA is often quantified relative to the amount of mRNA of a housekeeping gene" (Wittwer, column 42, lines 20-25).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the rejection of claims 48 and its dependent claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious Wittwer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,174,670) in view of Zimmerman et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0102548) should be withdrawn since the references do not teach all the limitations of the claims as amended. Amended claim 48 now includes limitations requiring differential probe hybridization to target and control nucleic acids during both qualitative detection due to differing melting points, as well as the lack of probe hybridization to control sequences by the probe during quantitative detection. Applicant argues that Wittwer does not teach a method wherein both of the limitations relating to qualitative and quantitative detection are met.

Applicant acknowledges that Wittwer teaches a qualitative detection method performed

Art Unit: 1637

at the conclusion of amplification wherein several nucleic acid targets have sufficiently different melting points with respect to probe hybridization, but argues that the reference fails to teach a method of quantitative detection where one of the targets does not hybridize to a probe and is therefore not detected during the amplification process.

Applicant argues that the quantitative detection methods of Wittwer necessarily include detection of the amplified control nucleic acid, in contrast to the instant invention wherein the control amplicon does not hybridize with the detection probe. Applicant further argues that Zimmerman does not make up for the deficiencies regarding the detection methods of Wittwer.

The Examiner asserts that Wittwer teaches methods of detection wherein amplification products that may differ by as little as a single nucleotide are quantified and detected during amplification by melt curves performed at a particular cycle or at each cycle (outlined in general in column 13, line 62 to column 14, line 29 and specifically for detection of factor V Leiden mutation in column 14, line 30 to column 15, line 18), and also teaches methods for differentiating such products by performing melt curves on amplicons after amplification (outlined in general in section in column 7, line 54 to column 8, line 35; see also column 45, lines 6-8 and Figure 46). With regard to quantitative detection methods, reactions can be monitored at each cycle during amplification by performing melting curve analysis at a temperature below the melting point of the detection probe and target nucleic acid (column 45, lines 8-10 and Figure 47). Furthermore, the results in Figure 47 are representative of the situation wherein control templates are not always detected, as evidenced by the lack of a second melting

Art Unit: 1637

peak for some products, such as when the positive control template is not amplified and thus there is no probe hybridization to the control template during the quantitative measuring step (see also column 14, lines 15-29). This analysis is consistent with the definitions cited by Applicant on p. 7 in the specification for quantitative and qualitative detection, as Wittwer teaches separate methods of melt curve analysis both during and after the amplification cycles for purposes of detection of target nucleic acids, wherein control and target nucleic acids differ by at least one nucleotide and are differentially bound by a detection probe in a temperature-dependent manner.

Zimmerman teaches detection methods using short, single-stranded control templates that are easily compatible with the detection methods of Wittwer, and thus, Wittwer and Zimmerman together teach all the limitations of the claims as amended. Therefore the 103(a) rejection of claims 27-32, 35, 36, 39 and 48 over Wittwer in view of Zimmerman is maintained.

Summary

5. Claims 27-32, 35, 36, 39 and 48 are rejected. No claims are allowable.

Correspondence

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-3320 and whose fax number is 571-273-3320. The examiner can normally be reached on 5 days, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/David C Thomas/
Examiner, Art Unit 1637

/Kenneth R Horlick/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637